and evaluates the relationship to and the achievements or failures of each of these institutions in the community. In the process she captures the warmth, the vitality, the passion, the pity, and the shame of one of the nation's worst slums.

The author offers no easy formula for slum clearance, but her keen insight into the problems of the community should be highly useful to all members of the institutions whose role in the community she has examined. One of her most disturbing conclusions is that modern new apartment buildings, while solving some hygenic problems, create serious rifts in the spirit of the community which prevent it from curing other social ills.

University of Puerto Rico

THOMAS MATHEWS

Stratification in Grenada. By M. G. Smith. Berkeley, 1965. University of California Press. Figures. Tables. Notes. Index. Pp. 271. \$7.00.

This volume is closely related to Professor Smith's other recent work on the Caribbean. In contrast to the personal account in Dark Puritan and the broader range over time and space in The Plural Society in the British West Indies, it represents the most rigorous kind of sociometric analysis of a narrow topic. The subject is stratification within the small Grenadan "planter class"—the elite group of the island. The field work was done in 1952-53, following the serious disturbances which began in 1951 and were still in progress at the time. It is, therefore, set at a crucial period of transition between two forms of political life. Nevertheless, the movement of society and politics at the time is subordinated to static mathematical analysis. It might seem to hold little interest for historians, but this is not the case, for Professor Smith's theoretical concern is much broader than his narrow He takes his point of departure from the implicit conflict between the concept of "plural society," as originated by J. S. Furnivall, and the general theory of social action. His conclusion that Grenada constitutes a decided exception to the general theory is supported here by hard evidence and suggests that the same may well be true for the whole range of plural societies in tropical America. The book, therefore, is of some importance to historians who wish to understand the place of tropical America in the broader sweep of human experience.

University of Wisconsin

PHILIP D. CURTIN